

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/585,122	04/12/2007	Goran Forsstrom	43315-233025	8214	
26694 VENABLE LI	7590 03/01/201 P	1	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 343	85		HOLLOWAY III, EDWIN C		
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/01/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/585,122	FORSSTROM, GORAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Edwin C. Holloway, III	2612	

	Edwin C. Holloway, III	2612							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 24 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS.	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonme application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which pl application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.13; or (3) a for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following timperiods: 									
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 									
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee wave been filled it had tel for purposes of oldermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 27 CER 41 27 must be t	illad within two month	of the date of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 									
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or 	,		ne issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1)									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	_						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	thefore or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will no	ho ontored						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a						
10. The afficact for other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).									
13. Other:									
	/Edwin C. Holloway, III/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2612							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to claims 1,5-7,9 and 10 changes the scope of the claims such that further consideration and search are required. The new language "each movable module comprises at least one instrument, indicator or control member; attachment elements on the at least one movable module and control panel configured to attach the at least one movable module to the control panel; and a central unit configured to transmit to and receive signals from at least one movable module" was not previously presented in the claims.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections are proper for the reasons stated in the Final Action.